

TELEPHONE LOG

U.S. CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION
OFFICE OF COMMISSIONER MARY SHEILA GALL

KPSA 6 (b)(1) Cleared
No Mfrs. Involved or
Products Identified
Excepted by
Firms Notified,
Comments Processed.

SUBJECT: Crib Slat Petition

DATE OF MEETING: December 9, 1996

PLACE: Via telephone

LOG ENTRY SOURCE: Patsy M. Semple

DATE OF ENTRY: December 9, 1996

COMMISSION PARTICIPANT:

Patsy M. Semple

NON-COMMISSION PARTICIPANT:

Frederick Locker, JPMA

SUMMARY OF CONVERSATION:

Mr. Locker was aware of what Mr. Preston had stated at the December 3rd briefing. Mr. Locker said that the industry tested the Canadian torque test at the request of Mr. Preston but felt it was not a solution. Industry felt the problem was quality control. Mr. Locker feels there is no simple answer. He stated that the industry was responsive, but did not agree with the proposed solution.

Mr. Locker felt that the ANPR recommended by staff was premature since the ASTM subcommittee had just received the revised proposal from Mr. Preston at the September 26th meeting. Industry said they would perform the testing and are currently doing so. Industry said they would be prepared to discuss the proposal at a January subcommittee meeting. Thus, it was premature for staff to recommend an ANPR prior to industry's response to the current proposal.